

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/693,408	BROOKS, GEORGE C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. George Bode.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 March 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:

none
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner gave the applicant an opportunity to elect on a restriction requirement. Applicant elected claims 1-9 which were determined to be patentable. Applicant then agreed to cancel non-elected claims 10-19.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



THOMAS M. LITHGOW  
 PATENT EXAMINER  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)